

REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS FOR
ARCHITECT/ENGINEER PROFESSIONAL SERVICES

**M. D. ANDERSON CANCER CENTER
Rotary House International Phase III**

CPM No.: 06-2110
RFQ No.: 468455/BD

**PREPROPOSAL MEETING:
Tuesday, September 16, 2008
9:00 AM LOCAL TIME
Rotary House International
1600 Holcombe Blvd. Conference Center Level 1 Room A-C
Houston, TX 77030**

**SUBMITTAL DUE DATE:
Tuesday, September 30, 2008
2:00 PM LOCAL TIME**

THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS
MD ANDERSON
CANCER CENTER
Making Cancer History®

Prepared By:
M. D. Anderson Cancer Center
Capital Planning & Management
6900 Fannin, Suite 10.1010
Houston, Texas 77030
713-792-2207

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section 1 - General Information & Requirements

- 1.1 General Information
- 1.2 Public Information
- 1.3 Type of Contract
- 1.4 Clarifications and Interpretations
- 1.5 Submission of Qualifications
- 1.6 Point-Of-Contact
- 1.7 Evaluation of Qualifications
- 1.8 Owner's Reservation of Rights
- 1.9 Acceptance of Evaluation Methodology
- 1.10 No Reimbursement for Costs
- 1.11 Pre-Submittal Conference
- 1.12 Eligible Respondents
- 1.13 Historically Underutilized Business Submittal Requirements
- 1.14 Certain Proposal and Contract Prohibited
- 1.15 Sales and Use Taxes
- 1.16 Certification of Franchise Tax Status
- 1.17 Required Notices of Worker's Compensation Insurance Coverage
- 1.18 Prevailing Wage Rate Determination
- 1.19 Delinquency in Paying Child Support
- 1.20 State Registration of Architectural Firms
- 1.21 State Registration of Engineering Firms

Section 2 - Executive Summary

- 2.1 Historical Background
- 2.2 Mission Statement
- 2.3 Project Description, Scope and Budget
- 2.4 Facilities Program
- 2.5 Project Planning Schedule

Section 3 - Requirements for Statement of Qualifications

- 3.1 Respondent's Statement of Qualifications and Availability to Undertake the Project

- 3.2 Prime Firm's Ability to Provide Services
- 3.3 Project Team's Ability to Provide Design and Construction Administration Services
- 3.4 Respondent's Performance on Past Representative Projects
- 3.5 Respondent's Performance on Past U.T. System Projects
- 3.6 Respondent's General Understanding of the U.T. System A/E Agreement
- 3.7 Respondent's Compliance with the Campus Master Plan
- 3.8 Respondent's Knowledge of Best Practices
- 3.9 Respondent's Ability to Identify and Resolve Problems on Past Projects
- 3.10 Execution of Offer

Section 4 - Format for Statement of Qualifications

- 4.1 General Instructions
- 4.2 Page Size, Binding, Dividers and Tabs
- 4.3 Table of Contents
- 4.4 Pagination

Section 5 - Attachments to the RFQ

- 5.1 Attachment A - Draft Agreement Between the Owner, and Project Architect/Engineer
- 5.2 Rider 1 to the Agreement
- 5.3 Owner's Design Guidelines
- 5.4 Facility Program
- 5.5 Attachment B - Exhibit H: Policy on Utilization Historically Underutilized Businesses
- 5.6 Attachment C - Owner's Architect / Engineer Design Fee Schedule
- 5.7 Attachment D - Request for Information
- 5.8 Attachment E - Rider 105 - Contractor's Affirmations & Warranties
- 5.9 Attachment F - Rider 106 - Premises Rules
- 5.10 Attachment G - Rider 107 - Travel Policy

REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS FOR
ARCHITECT/ENGINEER PROFESSIONAL SERVICES
M. D. ANDERSON CANCER CENTER
ROTARY HOUSE INTERNATIONAL PHASE III
RFQ No.: 468455/BD

SECTION 1 – GENERAL INFORMATION & REQUIREMENTS

- 1.1 **GENERAL INFORMATION:** The University of Texas M. D. Anderson Cancer Center (“Owner”) is soliciting statements of qualifications (“Qualifications”) for selection of an Architect/Engineer firm for the **ROTARY HOUSE INTERNATIONAL PHASE III, CPM No. 06-2110** (“Project”), in accordance with the terms, conditions, and requirements set forth in this Request for Qualifications.
- 1.1.1 This Request for Qualifications (“RFQ”) is the first step in a two-step process for selecting an Architect/Engineer firm. The RFQ provides the information necessary to prepare and submit Qualifications for consideration and initial ranking by the Owner. Based on the initial ranking, the Owner may select up to five (5) of the top ranked qualified respondents to attend Interviews in the final step of the process.
- 1.1.2 In the final step of the process, Interviews, the “most qualified” respondents may be requested to attend an interview with the Owner to confirm the Qualification submittal and answer additional questions. The Owner will then rank the remaining respondents in order to determine a “most qualified” respondent.
- 1.2 **PUBLIC INFORMATION:** All information, documentation, and other materials submitted in response to this solicitation are considered non-confidential and/or non-proprietary and are subject to public disclosure under the Texas Public Information Act (*Texas Government Code*, Chapter 552.001, *et seq.*) after the solicitation is completed.
- 1.2.1 The Owner strictly complies with all statutes, court decisions, and opinions of the Texas Attorney General with respect to disclosure of RFQ information.
- 1.3 **TYPE OF CONTRACT:** Any contract resulting from this solicitation will be in the form of the Owner’s Standard Architect/Engineer Agreement copy of which is attached to this RFQ.
- 1.4 **CLARIFICATIONS AND INTERPRETATIONS:** Any clarifications or interpretations of this RFQ that materially affect or change its requirements will be posted by the Owner as an addendum on the M.D. Anderson web site <http://www.mdanderson.org/departments/bids>). It is the responsibility of all respondents to obtain this information in a timely manner. All such addenda issued by the Owner before the proposals are due as part of the RFQ, and respondents shall acknowledge receipt of and incorporate each addendum in its Qualifications.
- 1.4.1 Respondents shall consider only those clarifications and interpretations that the Owner issues by addenda three (3) days prior to the submittal deadline. Interpretations or clarifications in any other form, including oral statements, will not be binding on the Owner and should not be relied on in preparing Qualifications.

1.5 SUBMISSION OF QUALIFICATIONS:

1.5.1 DEADLINE AND LOCATION: The Owner will receive Qualifications at the time and location described below.

September 30, 2008, 2:00 PM LOCAL TIME

Physical Address for Courier Delivery:

The University of Texas M. D. Anderson Cancer Center
Capital Planning & Management
6900 Fannin, **Suite 10.1010**
Houston, Texas 77030
Attention: Bryan Dawson

1.5.2 Submit **ten (10)** identical copies of the Qualifications. An original signature must be included on the "Respondent's Statement of Qualifications and Ability to Undertake the Project" document submitted with each copy.

1.5.3 Submit the HUB Subcontracting Plan (HSP) as described in Section 4.1.2 of this RFQ.

1.5.4 Late received Qualifications will be returned to the respondent unopened.

1.5.5 The Owner will not acknowledge or receive Qualifications that are delivered by telephone, facsimile (fax), or electronic mail (e-mail).

1.5.6 Properly submitted Qualifications will not be returned to respondents.

1.5.7 Qualifications materials must be enclosed in a sealed envelope (box or container) addressed to the Point-of-Contact person; the package must clearly identify the submittal deadline, the RFQ number, and the name and return address of the respondent.

1.6 POINT-OF-CONTACT: The Owner designates the following person as it's representative and Point-of-Contact for this RFQ. Respondents shall restrict all contact with the Owner and direct all questions regarding this RFQ, including questions regarding terms and conditions and technical specifications, to the Point-of-Contact person.

Bryan Dawson
The University of Texas M. D. Anderson Cancer Center
Capital Planning & Management
Sourcing & Contract Management
Fax: 713-792-4279
Email: bdawson@mdanderson.org

1.7 EVALUATION OF QUALIFICATIONS: The evaluation of the Qualifications shall be based on the requirements described in this RFQ. All properly submitted Qualifications will be reviewed, evaluated, and ranked by the Owner.

1.7.1 Qualifications shall not include any information regarding respondent's fees, pricing, or other compensation.

1.7.2 NOT USED.

- 1.8 OWNER'S RESERVATION OF RIGHTS: The Owner may evaluate the Qualifications based on the anticipated completion of all or any portion of the Project. The Owner reserves the right to divide the Project into multiple parts, to reject any and all Qualifications and re-solicit for new Qualifications, or to reject any and all Qualifications and temporarily or permanently abandon the Project. Owner makes no representations, written or oral, that it will enter into any form of agreement with any respondent to this RFQ for any project and no such representation is intended or should be construed by the issuance of this RFQ.
- 1.9 ACCEPTANCE OF EVALUATION METHODOLOGY: By submitting its Qualifications in response to this RFQ, respondent accepts the evaluation process and acknowledges and accepts that determination of the "most qualified" firm(s) will require subjective judgments by the Owner.
- 1.10 NO REIMBURSEMENT FOR COSTS: Respondent acknowledges and accepts that any costs incurred from the respondent's participation in this RFQ shall be at the sole risk and responsibility of the respondent.
- 1.11 PRE-SUBMITTAL CONFERENCE: A pre-submittal conference will be held at the time and location described below.

Tuesday, September 16, 2008 9:00 AM Local time

The University of Texas M.D. Anderson Cancer Center
Rotary House International
1600 Holcombe Blvd.
Conference Center Level 1, Room # A-C
Houston, TX 77030

- 1.11.1 A guided tour of the project site will be included as a part of the conference agenda. This may be the only opportunity for potential respondents to view the Project site(s) before the submittal of Qualifications. Attendance at the pre-submittal conference is optional.
- 1.12 ELIGIBLE RESPONDENTS: Only individual firms or lawfully formed business organizations may apply. This does not preclude a respondent from using consultants. The Owner will contract only with the individual firm or formal organization that submits a Qualification.
- 1.13 HISTORICALLY UNDERUTILIZED BUSINESSES SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS: It is the policy of The University of Texas System, and each of its component institutions, to promote and encourage contracting and subcontracting opportunities for Historically Underutilized Businesses (HUB) in all contracts. Accordingly, Owner has adopted **Exhibit H**, Policy on Utilization of Historically Underutilized Businesses (See attached). The Policy applies to all contracts with an expected value of \$100,000 or more. If Owner determines that subcontracting opportunities are probable, then a HUB Subcontracting Plan is a required element of the Qualifications. Failure to submit a required HUB Subcontracting Plan will result in rejection of the Qualifications.

1.13.1 STATEMENT OF PROBABILITY

Owner has determined that subcontracting opportunities are probable in connection with this procurement solicitation. Therefore, a HUB Subcontracting Plan (HSP) is required as a part of the Respondent's Qualifications. The Respondent shall develop and

administer a HSP as a part of the Respondent's response in accordance with **Exhibit H**, Owner's Policy on Utilization of Historically Underutilized Businesses (HUB).

- 1.13.2 Refer to **Exhibit H**, Policy on Utilization, Historically Underutilized Business (attached) and the Summary of Requirements for a detailed list of attachments required with the Qualifications.
- 1.13.3 The "Statement of Probability" determines the probability for subcontracting opportunities. This determination will clarify which attachments, detailed in Figure 1, will be required to be completed and returned.
- 1.13.4 Submit the HUB Subcontracting Plan as stated in Section 4.1.2 of this RFQ.
- 1.14 CERTAIN PROPOSALS AND CONTRACTS PROHIBITED: Under Section 2155.004, Texas Government Code, a state agency may not accept a proposal or award a contract that includes proposed financial participation by a person who received compensation from the agency to participate in preparing the specifications or request for proposals on which the proposal or contract is based. All vendors must certify their eligibility by acknowledging the following statement, "Under Section 2155.004, Government Code, the vendor certifies that the individual or business entity named in this bid or contract is not ineligible to receive the specified contract and acknowledges that this contract may be terminated and payment withheld if this certification is inaccurate." If a state agency determines that an individual or business entity holding a state contract was ineligible to have the contract accepted or awarded as described above, the state agency may immediately terminate the contract without further obligation to the vendor. This section does not create a cause of action to contest a proposal or award of a state contract.
- 1.15 SALES AND USE TAXES: Section 151.311, Tax Code, as amended effective October 1, 1993, permits the purchase free of state sales and use taxes of tangible personal property to be incorporated into realty in the performance of a contract for an improvement to realty for certain exempt entities that include The University of Texas System. The section further permits the purchase tax-free of tangible personal property (other than machinery or equipment and its accessories and repair and replacement parts) for use in the performance of such a contract if the property is "necessary and essential for the performance of the contract" and "completely consumed at the job site." In addition, the section permits the purchase tax-free of a tangible service for use in the performance of such a contract if the service is performed at the job site and if "the contract expressly requires the specific service to be provided or purchased by the person performing the contract" or "the service is integral to the performance of the contract."
- 1.16 CERTIFICATION OF FRANCHISE TAX STATUS: Respondents are advised that the successful respondent will be required to submit certification of franchise tax status as required by State Law (H.B. 175, Acts 70th Leg. R.S., 1987, Ch. 283, p. 3242). The contractor agrees that each subcontractor and supplier under contract will also provide a certification of franchise tax status.
- 1.17 REQUIRED NOTICES OF WORKERS' COMPENSATION INSURANCE COVERAGE: The Texas Workers' Compensation Commission has adopted a new rule, 28 TAC, sec. 110.110, relating to REPORTING REQUIREMENTS FOR BUILDING OR CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS FOR GOVERNMENTAL ENTITIES. The rule applies to all building or construction contracts advertised for bid on or after September 1, 1994. The rule implements sec. 406.096, Texas Labor Code, which requires workers' compensation insurance coverage for all persons providing services on a building or construction project for a governmental entity. The

requirements of the rule are set forth in Article 6 of the Uniform General and Supplementary General Conditions for University of Texas System Building Construction Contracts.

- 1.18 **PREVAILING WAGE RATE DETERMINATION:** Respondents are advised that the Texas Prevailing Wage Law will be administered in accordance with the policies and procedures set forth in the U. T. System document, entitled "Prevailing Wage Guidelines." A copy is attached to the Special Conditions. The penalty for violation of prevailing wage rates has been increased from \$10.00 per underpaid worker per day or portion thereof to \$60.00.
- 1.19 **DELINQUENCY IN PAYING CHILD SUPPORT:** Under Section 231.006, Family Code, the vendor or applicant certifies that the individual or business entity named in this contract, bid, or application is not ineligible to receive the specified grant, loan, or payment and acknowledges that this contract may be terminated and payment may be withheld if this certification is inaccurate.
- 1.20 **STATE REGISTRATION OF ARCHITECTURE FIRMS:** Respondents are advised that the Texas Board of Architectural Examiners requires that any firm or business entity providing architectural services to the public, other than a sole proprietor doing business under his/her name, must annually register information regarding the firm or business entity with the Texas Board of Architectural Examiners. Texas Board of Architectural Examiners. 333 Guadalupe Street, Suite 2-350, Austin, Texas 78701, telephone (512) 305-9000, has jurisdiction over individuals licensed under the Architects' Registration Law, Chapter 1051, Texas Occupations Code.
- 1.21 **STATE REGISTRATION OF ENGINEERING FIRMS:** Respondents are advised that the Texas Board of Professional Engineers requires that any entity providing engineering services to the public must register with the Texas Board of Professional Engineers. An entity is defined as a sole proprietorship, firm, partnership, corporation or joint stock association.

SECTION 2 – EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2.1 HISTORICAL BACKGROUND:

M. D. Anderson is known throughout the world for high-quality cancer care, research, academic programs and prevention services. Since its establishment in 1941, M. D. Anderson has made major contributions to improve the outlook for cancer patients everywhere.

M. D. Anderson was one of the first three federally designated comprehensive cancer centers by the National Cancer Act of 1971. A survey of health professionals has routinely ranked M. D. Anderson as one of the two premier cancer centers in the nation for the past eight years. Over 55,000 persons annually seek care at M. D. Anderson. The team approach to cancer care, pioneered at M. D. Anderson, has been strengthened by consolidating specialty clinics into multi-disciplinary care centers that allow patients to receive more ambulatory care and treatment in a single location.

M. D. Anderson offers one of the largest bone marrow and stem cell transplantation programs, as well as clinical trials to improve existing therapies. Teams of clinical specialists collaborate with scientists on problems of common interest and test theories that shorten the application of better treatment methods. Translational research studies are responsible for the promising field of chemoprevention, which uses synthetic vitamins to reverse pre-malignant lesions and halt the

recurrence of some cancers, and for innovative gene therapy strategies being evaluated for several forms of cancer.

Existing Houston facilities include the latest M. D. Anderson Main Complex facility completed in 1998 and designated as the Alkek Building. This facility consists of more than 1.2 million square feet of new space and is dedicated to clinic, research, and patient care in the Texas Medical Center. During 2005, Owner completed construction of the George and Cynthia Mitchell Basic Sciences Research Building, The Lowry and Peggy Mays Clinic Building, the Cancer Prevention Building, and the South Campus Research Building Two. Owner is currently constructing the T. Boone Pickens Academic Tower, which is scheduled to be completed during 2008. Educational programs train about 2,000 scientists, physicians, and allied health professionals annually. A new Cancer Prevention Center provides sophisticated cancer risk assessment and early detection, genetic testing, counseling, classes to address harmful lifestyle habits, and long-term follow-up for recovering cancer patients. Studies of the environmental causes of cancer are conducted at M. D. Anderson Science Park in nearby Bastrop County. The Bastrop site also includes a center to provide veterinary resources for research.

2.2 MISSION STATEMENT:

Mission. The mission of The University of Texas M. D. Anderson Cancer Center is to eliminate cancer in Texas, the nation, and the world through outstanding programs that integrate patient care, research and prevention, and through education for undergraduate and graduate students, trainees, professionals, employees and the public.

Vision. We shall be the premier cancer center in the world, based on the excellence of our people, our research-driven patient care and our science. We are Making Cancer History.

Core Values.

- Caring: By our words and actions, we create a caring environment for everyone.
- Integrity: We work together to merit the trust of our colleagues and those we serve.
- Discovery: We embrace creativity and seek new knowledge.

2.3 PROJECT DESCRIPTION, SCOPE AND BUDGET:

This project includes the design and construction of a Phase III expansion to the Rotary House International (RHI) hotel, also referred to as the "Project" located at the corner of Holcombe Boulevard and South Braeswood Boulevard.

- The project is anticipated to provide 161 additional guest rooms and suites plus additional hotel support space.
- The Project also includes renovation of portions of the existing facility to improve the functionality of the hotel, such as relocation of the front desk, and to enlarge the kitchen, dining, and the amenity areas to support the Phase III expansion.
- This expansion will complete the current Master Plan utilization for the campus parcel.
- This project will include construction of the pedestrian bridge across South Braeswood Boulevard that will link the Phase III expansion to the new Braeswood Garage.

The Preliminary Project Cost (PPC) for this project is **FIFTY FIVE MILLION, EIGHT HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS AND NO/100, (\$55,800,000.00)**. The PPC includes a Construction Cost Limitation (CCL) for the Architect/Engineer of **THIRTY SIX MILLION SIX HUNDRED FORTY EIGHT THOUSAND DOLLARS AND NO/100, (\$36,648,000.00)**. Fees, furnishings, equipment, other work, miscellaneous expenses, and contingencies make up the balance of the PPC.

2.4 FACILITIES PROGRAM: The Owner has approved a Facility Program for the project dated May 5, 2008, and is attached to this Request for Qualifications.

2.5 PROJECT PLANNING SCHEDULE: Key Project planning schedule milestones subject to change at Owner's sole discretion are:

- | | |
|---|------------------------|
| ▪ Owner receives Request For Qualifications | Refer to Section 1.5.1 |
| ▪ Owner conducts Pre-Submittal Conference | Refer to Section 1.11 |
| ▪ Last Day to Submit Request for Information (RFI) 4:00 PM | September 22, 2008 |
| ▪ Responses to RFI posted to Internet | September 24, 2008 |
| ▪ Owners receives Request For Qualifications | September 30, 2008 |
| ▪ Owner interviews Respondents (if applicable) | October 29, 2008 |
| ▪ Selected Respondent delivers executed agreement to Owner | November 19, 2008 |
| ▪ Selected Respondent submits Design Development Documents | April 20, 2009 |
| ▪ Selected Respondent submits Construction Documents | August 27, 2009 |
| ▪ Owner advertises for Competitive Sealed Proposals (if applicable) | September 10, 2009 |
| ▪ Owner issues Notice to Proceed for Construction | December 15, 2009 |
| ▪ Contractor achieves Substantial Completion of Construction | April 15, 2011 |

SECTION 3 – REQUIREMENTS FOR STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS

Respondents shall carefully read the information contained in the following criteria and submit a complete statement of Qualifications to all questions in Section 3 formatted as directed in Section 4. Incomplete Qualifications will be considered non-responsive and subject to rejection.

3.1 CRITERIA ONE: RESPONDENT'S STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS AND AVAILABILITY TO UNDERTAKE THE PROJECT (Maximum of two (2) printed pages per question)

- 3.1.1 Provide a statement of interest for the project including a narrative describing the Prime Firm's and Project Team's unique qualifications as they pertain to this particular project.
- 3.1.2 Provide a statement on the availability and commitment of the Prime Firm and its principal(s) and assigned professionals to undertake the project.
- 3.1.3 Provide a brief history of the Prime Firm and each consultant proposed for the project.
- 3.1.4 Provide a graphic representation of the project team, identifying the Prime Firm and each consultant proposed for the project.

3.2 CRITERIA TWO: PRIME FIRM'S ABILITY TO PROVIDE SERVICES

3.2.1 Provide the following information for the Prime Firm:

- Legal name of the company as registered with the Secretary State of Texas
- Address of the office that will be providing services
- Number of years in business
- Type of Operation (Individual, Partnership, Corporation, Joint Venture, etc...)
- Number of Employees by skill group
- Annual revenue totals for the past ten (10) years

3.2.2 Provide the three (3) most recent audited financial statements documenting your firm's financial stability.

3.2.3 Is your company currently for sale or involved in any transaction to expand or to become acquired by another business entity? If yes, please explain the impact both in organizational and directional terms.

3.2.4 Provide any details of all past or pending litigation or claims filed against your company that would affect your company's performance under a Contract with the Owner.

3.2.5 Is your company currently in default on any loan agreement or financing agreement with any bank, financial institution, or other entity? If yes, specify date(s), details, circumstances, and prospects for resolution.

3.2.6 Does any relationship exist by relative, business associate, capital funding agreement, or any other such kinship between your firm and any Owner employee, officer or Regent? If so, please explain.

3.2.7 Provide a claims history under professional malpractice insurance for the past five (5) years for the Prime Firm and any team members proposed to provide professional architectural or engineering services.

3.3 CRITERIA THREE: PROJECT TEAM'S ABILITY TO PROVIDE DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION ADMINISTRATION SERVICES

3.3.1 Describe, in graphic and written form, the proposed project assignments and lines of authority and communication for principals and key professional members of each consultant that will be involved in the project. Indicate the estimated percent of time these individuals will be involved in the project for design and construction.

3.3.2 Provide resumes giving the experience and expertise of the professional members for each consultant that will be involved in the project, including their experience with similar projects, the number of years with the firm, and their city of residence.

3.3.3 Clearly identify the members of the proposed team who worked on the listed projects in Criteria 3.4 and 3.5, and describe their roles in those projects.

3.3.4 Describe the basis for the selection of the proposed sub-consultants included in the design team and the role each will play for this project.

3.3.5 Describe the Prime Firm's process in working with consultants and integrating them into the design process.

3.4 CRITERIA FOUR: RESPONDENT'S PERFORMANCE ON PAST REPRESENTATIVE PROJECTS

3.4.1 List a maximum of five (5) projects for which you have provided services that are most related to this project. List the projects in order of priority, with the most relevant project listed first. Provide the following information for each project listed:

- Project name, location, contract delivery method, and description
- Color images (photographic or machine reproductions)
- Final Construction Cost, including Change Orders
- Final project size in gross square feet
- Type of construction (new, renovation, or expansion)
- Actual start and finish dates for design
- Actual Notice To Proceed and Substantial Completion dates for construction
- Description of professional services Prime Firm provided for the project
- Name of Project Manager (individual responsible to the Owner for the overall success of the project)
- Name of Project Architect (individual responsible for coordinating the day to day work)
- Name of Project Designer (individual responsible for design concepts)
- Consultants

References (for each project listed above, identify the following):

- The Owner's name and representative who served as the day-to-day liaison during the design and construction phases of the project, including telephone number
- Contractor's name and representative who served as the day-to-day liaison during the Preconstruction and/or construction phase of the project, including telephone number
- Length of business relationship with the Owner.

References shall be considered relevant based on specific project participation and experience with the Respondent. The Owner may contact references during any part of this process. The Owner reserves the right to contact any other references at any time during the RFQ/P process.

3.4.2 Identify a maximum of three (3) completed projects, of any type, for which the Prime Firm received an award for design excellence from a recognized organization and provide descriptive information for each.

3.5 CRITERIA FIVE: RESPONDENT'S PAST PERFORMANCE ON U.T. SYSTEM PROJECTS

3.5.1 Identify and describe the Prime Firm's past experience on University of Texas System projects within the last five (5) years. Projects may repeat with Section 3.4 above.

If the Prime Firm (or it's consultants) has not previously provided services for The University of Texas System, then identify and describe the Prime Firm's past performance on similar projects for "major" institutions of higher education and healthcare within the last five (5) years.

In either case above, provide the following information for each project listed:

- Project name, location, contract delivery method, and description
- Color images (photographic or machine reproductions)

- Final Construction Cost, including Change Orders
- Final project size in gross square feet
- Type of construction (new, renovation, or expansion)
- Actual start and finish dates for design
- Actual Notice To Proceed and Substantial Completion dates for construction
- Description of professional services Prime Firm provided for the project
- Name of Project Manager (individual responsible to the Owner for the overall success of the project)
- Name of Project Architect (individual responsible for coordinating the day to day work)
- Name of Project Designer (individual responsible for design concepts)
- Consultants

3.6 CRITERIA SIX: RESPONDENT'S GENERAL UNDERSTANDING OF THE OWNER'S A/E AGREEMENT

- 3.6.1 Provide a detailed list (i.e. bulleted) of all Design Services and consultants you will provide to the Owner under Basic Services on this project (including those outlined in Articles 1, 14 and 15 of the Agreement).
- 3.6.2 Provide a detailed list (i.e. bulleted) of all Construction Administration Services and consultants you will provide to the Owner under Basic Services on this project (including those outlined in Articles 1 and 14 of the Agreement).
- 3.6.3 Provide a detailed list (i.e. bulleted) of all Services and consultants you will provide to the Owner as Additional Services for Design and Construction Services on this project (including those outlined in Articles 1 and 14 of the Agreement).
- 3.6.4 Provide a detailed list (i.e. bulleted) of all reimbursable services/expenses you will request from the Owner and on this project (including those outlined in Articles 5, 14 and 15 of the Agreement).
- 3.6.5 Identify any terms of the draft Agreement you may request to be changed prior to signing the Agreement.

3.7 CRITERIA SEVEN: RESPONDENT'S COMPLIANCE WITH THE CAMPUS MASTER PLAN

- 3.7.1 State your compliance with the Campus Master Plan and explain how you anticipate incorporating the requirements of the Campus Master Plan into the design of the project.

3.8 CRITERIA EIGHT: RESPONDENT'S KNOWLEDGE OF BEST PRACTICES

- 3.8.1 Describe the Prime Firm's design philosophy, design methodology, and its process for integrating institutional standards into design.
- 3.8.2 Describe the Prime Firm's quality assurance program explaining the method used and how the firm maintains quality control during the development of Construction Documents and quality assurance during the Construction phase of a project. Provide specific examples of how these techniques or procedures were used for any combination of three (3) projects listed in response to Criteria 3.4 and 3.5.

- 3.8.3 Describe your project team's demonstrated technical competence and management qualifications with institutional projects, particularly those for higher education.
- 3.8.4 The Owner has specific system design and construction standards and specification requirements for construction projects. Describe how you propose to incorporate these requirements into this project.
- 3.8.5 NOT USED.
- 3.8.6 Describe your cost estimating methods for the design and construction phases. How do you develop cost estimates and how often are they updated? For any combination of three (3) projects listed in response to Criteria 3.4 and 3.5, provide examples of how these techniques were used and what degree of accuracy was achieved.
- 3.8.7 Describe the way in which your firm develops and maintains work schedules to coordinate with the Owner's project schedule. For any combination of three (3) projects listed in response to Criteria 3.4 and 3.5, provide examples of how these techniques were used.
- 3.8.8 Describe your firm's service support philosophy, how is it carried out, and how success in keeping this philosophy is measured.
- 3.8.9 Describe the types of records, reports, monitoring systems, and information management systems, which your firm used in the management of the projects listed above. Describe how you used these systems for any combination of three (3) projects listed in response to Criteria 3.4 and 3.5.
- 3.8.10 Describe how you plan to ensure continuity of project objectives starting with design solution, moving through construction documents, and finishing with a constructed project that meets the Owner's requirements.
- 3.8.11 Describe the project team's experience in sustainable design and improved indoor air quality.
- 3.8.12 Describe the project team's experience in managing the impact of MEP systems on renovation/historic buildings.
- 3.8.13 Describe the project team's approach to assuring timely completion of this project, including methods you will use for schedule recovery if necessary.
- 3.8.14 Describe how you track Owner input and review comments on your design document submittals to confirm that they have been addressed. Provide examples of reports/logs used for tracking response to and closure on Owner comments.
- 3.9 CRITERIA NINE: RESPONDENT'S ABILITY TO IDENTIFY AND RESOLVE PROBLEMS ON PAST PROJECTS
- 3.9.1 Describe your understanding of the administrative challenges and opportunities associated with providing Design and Construction Administration services for the Owner on this project, and your strategy for resolving these issues.
- 3.9.2 Describe what do you perceive are the critical issues for this project.

- 3.9.3 Understanding schedule limitations, provide an analysis of the Owner's project planning schedule and describe how you plan to develop and communicate design, scope, and budget options in a form that will quickly facilitate the Owner's decision making.
- 3.9.4 Describe the the project team's experience with renovation/expansion projects in occupied facilities.
- 3.9.5 For any combination of three (3) projects listed in response to Criteria 3.4 and 3.5, describe any conflicts with the Owner, Consultants, Contractor, or subcontractors, and describe the methods your firm used to resolve those conflicts.
- 3.9.6 Provide examples of how the Respondent has creatively incorporated mechanical, electrical, and plumbing solutions in similar structures.
- 3.9.7 Describe how the Project Team should structure the consulting agreement with the MEP firm to ensure that adequate resources are available to produce effective solutions.

3.10 CRITERIA TEN: EXECUTION OF OFFER: 468455/BD

NOTE TO RESPONDENTS: SUBMIT ENTIRE SECTION WITH RESPONSE.

THIS EXECUTION OF OFFER MUST BE COMPLETED, SIGNED, AND RETURNED WITH THE RESPONDENT'S QUALIFICATIONS. FAILURE TO COMPLETE, SIGN AND RETURN THIS EXECUTION OF OFFER WITH THE QUALIFICATIONS MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF THE QUALIFICATIONS.

SIGNING A FALSE STATEMENT MAY VOID THE SUBMITTED QUALIFICATIONS OR ANY AGREEMENTS OR OTHER CONTRACTUAL ARRANGEMENTS, WHICH MAY RESULT FROM THE SUBMISSION OF RESPONDENT'S QUALIFICATIONS, AND THE RESPONDENT MAY BE REMOVED FROM ALL PROPOSER LISTS. A FALSE CERTIFICATION SHALL BE DEEMED A MATERIAL BREACH OF CONTRACT AND, AT OWNER'S OPTION, MAY RESULT IN TERMINATION OF ANY RESULTING CONTRACT OR PURCHASE ORDER.

- 3.10.1 By signature hereon, Respondent acknowledges and agrees that (1) this RFQ is a solicitation for Qualifications and is not a contract or an offer to contract; (2) the submission of Qualifications by Respondent in response to this RFQ will not create a contract between the Owner and Respondent; (3) the Owner has made no representation or warranty, written or oral, that one or more contracts with the Owner will be awarded under this RFQ; and (4) Respondent shall bear, as its sole risk and responsibility, any cost which arises from Respondent's preparation of a response to this RFQ.
- 3.10.2 By signature hereon, Respondent offers and agrees to furnish to the Owner the products and/or services more particularly described in its Qualifications, and to comply with all terms, conditions and requirements set forth in the RFQ documents and contained herein.
- 3.10.3 By signature hereon, Respondent affirms that he has not given, nor intends to give at any time hereafter, any economic opportunity, future employment, gift, loan, gratuity, special discount, trip, favor or service to a public servant in connection with the submitted Qualifications.
- 3.10.4 By signature hereon, a corporate Respondent certifies that it is not currently delinquent in the payment of any Franchise Taxes due under Chapter 171, Texas Tax Code, or that the corporate Respondent is exempt from the payment of such taxes, or that the corporate Respondent is an out-of-state corporation that is not subject to the Texas Franchise Tax, whichever is applicable.
- 3.10.5 By signature hereon, the Respondent hereby certifies that neither the Respondent nor the firm, corporation, partnership or Owner represented by the Respondent, or anyone acting for such firm, corporation, or institution has violated the antitrust laws of this state, codified in Section 15.01, et. seq., Texas Business and Commerce Code, or the Federal antitrust laws, nor communicated directly or indirectly the Qualifications made to any competitor or any other person engaged in such line of business.
- 3.10.6 By signature hereon, Respondent represents and warrants that:
- 3.10.6.1 Respondent is a reputable company regularly engaged in providing products and/or services necessary to meet the terms, conditions and requirements of the RFQ;

- 3.10.6.2 Respondent has the necessary experience, knowledge, abilities, skills, and resources to satisfactorily perform the terms, conditions and requirements of the RFQ;
 - 3.10.6.3 Respondent is aware of, is fully informed about, and is in full compliance with all applicable federal, state and local laws, rules, regulations and ordinances;
 - 3.10.6.4 Respondent understands (i) the requirements and specifications set forth in this RFQ and (ii) the terms and conditions set forth in the Contract under which Respondent will be required to operate;
 - 3.10.6.5 Respondent, if selected by the Owner, will maintain insurance as required by the Contract;
 - 3.10.6.6 All statements, information and representations prepared and submitted in response to this RFQ are current, complete, true and accurate. Respondent acknowledges that the Owner will rely on such statements, information and representations in selecting the successful Respondent. If selected by the Owner as the successful Respondent, Respondent will notify the Owner immediately of any material change in any matters with regard to which Respondent has made a statement or representation or provided information.
- 3.10.7 By signature hereon, Respondent certifies that the individual signing this document and the documents made part of the RFQ is authorized to sign such documents on behalf of the company and to bind the company under any agreements or other contractual arrangements, which may result from the submission of Respondent's Qualifications.
- 3.10.8 By signature hereon, Respondent certifies that if a Texas address is shown as the address of the Respondent, Respondent qualifies as a Texas Resident Respondent as defined in Rule 1 TAC 111.2.
- 3.10.9 By signature hereon, Respondent certifies as follows:
- 3.10.9.1 "Under Section 231.006, *Texas Family Code*, the vendor or applicant certifies that the individual or business entity named in this contract, bid, or application is not ineligible to receive the specified grant, loan, or payment and acknowledges that this contract may be terminated and payment may be withheld if this certification is inaccurate."
 - 3.10.9.2 "Under Section 2155.004, *Texas Government Code*, the vendor or applicant certifies that the individual or business entity named in this bid or contract is not ineligible to receive the specified contract and acknowledges that this contract may be terminated and payment withheld if this certification is inaccurate."
 - 3.10.9.3 "Under Section 2254.004, *Texas Government Code*, the vendor or applicant certifies that each individual or business entity which is an engineer or architect proposed by Respondent as a member of its team was selected based on demonstrated competence and qualifications only."
- 3.10.10 By signature hereon, Respondent certifies that no relationship, whether by relative, business associate, capital funding agreement or by any other such kinship exist between Respondent and an employee of any University of Texas component, or Respondent has not been an employee of any University of Texas component within the immediate twelve (12) months

prior to your RFQ response. All such disclosures will be subject to administrative review and approval prior to the Owner entering into any contract with Respondent.

- 3.10.11 By signature hereon, Respondent affirms that no compensation has been received for participation in the preparation of the specifications for this RFQ. (ref. Section 2155.004 Texas Government Code).
- 3.10.12 Respondent represents and warrants that all articles and services quoted in response to this RFQ meet or exceed the safety standards established and promulgated under the Federal Occupational Safety and Health Law (Public Law 91-596) and its regulations in effect or proposed as of the date of this solicitation.
- 3.10.13 By signature hereon, Respondent signifies his compliance with all federal laws and regulations pertaining to Equal Employment Opportunities and Affirmative Action.
- 3.10.14 By signature hereon, Respondent agrees to defend, indemnify, and hold harmless the State of Texas, all of its officers, agents and employees from and against all claims, actions, suits, demands, proceedings, costs, damages, and liabilities, arising out of, connected with, or resulting from any acts or omissions of Respondent or any agent, employee, subcontractor, or supplier of Respondent in the execution or performance of any agreements or other contractual arrangements which may result from the submission of Respondent's Qualifications.
- 3.10.15 By signature hereon, Respondent agrees that any payments that may become due under any agreements or other contractual arrangements, which may result from the submission of Respondent's Qualifications, will be applied towards any debt including, but not limited to, delinquent taxes and child support that is owed to the State of Texas.
- 3.10.16 By signature hereon, Respondent certifies that no member of the Board of Regents of The University of Texas System, or the Executive Officers of the University of Texas System or its component institutions, has a financial interest, directly or indirectly, in the transaction that is the subject of the contract.

3.10.17 Execution of Offer: RFQ No. 468455/BD

The Respondent must complete, sign and return this Execution of Offer as part of their submittal response. The Respondent's company official(s) who are authorized to commit to such a submittal must sign submittals. Failure to sign and return this form will subject the submittal to disqualification.

Respondent's Name: _____

Respondent's State of Texas Tax Account No: _____
(This 11 digit number is mandatory)

If a Corporation:

Respondent's State of Incorporation: _____

Respondent's Charter No: _____

Identify by name each person who owns at least 25% of the Respondent's business entity:

(Name)

(Name)

(Name)

(Name)

Submitted and Certified By:

(Respondent's Name)

(Title)

(Street Address)

(Telephone Number)

(City, State, Zip Code)

(Fax Number)

(Authorized Signature)

(Date)

SECTION 4 – FORMAT FOR STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS

4.1 GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

- 4.1.1 Qualifications shall be prepared **SIMPLY AND ECONOMICALLY**, providing a straightforward, **CONCISE** description of the respondent's ability to meet the requirements of this RFQ. Emphasis shall be on the **QUALITY**, completeness, clarity of content, responsiveness to the requirements, and an understanding of Owner's needs.
- 4.1.2 Qualifications shall be a **MAXIMUM** of **SEVENTY-FIVE (75) PRINTED PAGES**. The cover, table of contents, divider sheets, HUB Subcontracting Plan (Section 1.13), and Execution of Offer do not count as printed pages. Each bound copy must be in the following order.
- Cover
 - Cover Letter
 - Table of Contents
 - **CRITERIA ONE: RESPONDENT'S STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS AND AVAILABILITY TO UNDERTAKE THE PROJECT**
 - **CRITERIA TWO: PRIME FIRM'S ABILITY TO PROVIDE SERVICES**
 - **CRITERIA THREE: PROJECT TEAM'S ABILITY TO PROVIDE DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION ADMINISTRATION SERVICES**
 - **CRITERIA FOUR: RESPONDENT'S PERFORMANCE ON PAST REPRESENTATIVE PROJECTS**
 - **CRITERIA FIVE: RESPONDENT'S PERFORMANCE ON PAST U.T. SYSTEM PROJECTS**
 - **CRITERIA SIX: RESPONDENT'S GENERAL UNDERSTANDING OF THE U.T. SYSTEM A/E AGREEMENT**
 - **CRITERIA SEVEN: RESPONDENT'S COMPLIANCE WITH THE CAMPUS MASTER PLAN**
 - **CRITERIA EIGHT: RESPONDENT'S KNOWLEDGE OF BEST PRACTICES**
 - **CRITERIA NINE: RESPONDENT'S ABILITY TO IDENTIFY AND RESOLVE PROBLEMS ON PAST PROJECTS**
 - **CRITERIA TEN: EXECUTION OF OFFER**
 - **HUB SUBCONTRACTING PLAN**
- 4.1.3 Respondents shall carefully read the information contained in this RFQ and submit a complete response to all requirements and questions as directed. Incomplete Qualifications will be considered non-responsive and subject to rejection.
- 4.1.4 Qualifications and any other information submitted by respondents in response to this RFQ shall become the property of the Owner.
- 4.1.5 The Owner will not compensate respondents for any expenses incurred in Qualifications preparation or for any presentations that may be made, unless agreed to in writing in advance or required by law. Respondents submit Qualifications at their own risk and expense.
- 4.1.6 Qualifications that are qualified with conditional clauses, alterations, items not called for in the RFQ documents, or irregularities of any kind are subject to rejection by the Owner, at its option.
- 4.1.7 The Owner makes no representations of any kind that an award will be made as a result of this RFQ. The Owner reserves the right to accept or reject any or all Qualifications, waive

any formalities or minor technical inconsistencies, or delete any item/requirements from this RFQ when deemed to be in Owner's best interest.

4.1.8 Qualifications shall consist of answers to questions identified in Section 3 of the RFQ. Separate each section of the Qualifications by use of a divider sheet with an integral tab for ready reference. Identify the tabs in accordance with the parts under Section 3, which is to be consistent with the Table of Contents. **TAB IDENTIFICATION BY NUMBERS ONLY IS NOT ACCEPTABLE.**

4.1.9 Failure to comply with all requirements contained in this Request for Qualifications may result in the rejection of the Qualifications.

4.2 **PAGE SIZE, BINDING, DIVIDERS, AND TABS:**

4.2.1 Qualifications shall be printed on letter-size (8-1/2" x 11") paper and assembled with spiral-type bindings or staples. **DO NOT USE METAL-RING HARD COVER BINDERS.**

4.2.2 Additional attachments shall NOT be included with the Qualifications. Only the responses provided by the respondent to the questions identified in Section 3 of this RFQ will be used by the Owner for evaluation.

4.2.3 Separate and identify each criteria response to Section 3 of this RFQ by use of a divider sheet with an integral tab for ready reference.

4.3 **TABLE OF CONTENTS:**

4.3.1 Submittals shall include a "Table of Contents" and give page numbers for each part of the Qualifications.

4.4 **PAGINATION:**

4.4.1 Number all pages of the submittal sequentially using Arabic numerals (1, 2, 3, etc.); the Respondent is not required to number the pages of the HUB Subcontracting Plan.

SECTION 5 - ATTACHMENTS TO THE RFQ

5.1 Attachment A - Draft Agreement Between the Owner, and Project Architect/Engineer

5.2 Rider 1 to the Agreement

5.3 Owner's Design Guidelines

5.4 Facility Program

5.5 Attachment B - Exhibit H: Policy on Utilization Historically Underutilized Businesses

5.6 Attachment C - Owner's Architect / Engineer Design Fee Schedule

5.7 Attachment D – Request for Information

5.8 Attachment E – Rider 105 – Contractor's Affirmations & Warranties

5.9 Attachment F – Rider 106 – Premises Rules

5.10 Attachment G – Rider 107 – Travel Policy